

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fì	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,487	10/786,487 02/25/2004		Pastor Velasco JR.	221P130US01	3115	
23322	7590	09/14/2005		EXAMINER		
IPLM GRO	OUP, P.A.	•	THOMPSON	THOMPSON, HUGH B		
POST OFFICE BOX 18455 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WINNEAT OLIS, WIN 35410				3634	3634	
				DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comment	10/786,487	VELASCO, PASTOR					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	ne 2005.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.	•						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	,					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	·	ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date    Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/786,487

Art Unit: 3634

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisk et al #5,203,829 in view of Chong et al #4,913,136. Fisk et al disclose a safety harness 10 comprised of shoulder/chest straps 18, 20, leg straps 64, 66, dorsal back pad 30, mating internal connections/buckles 22, 54(female), 56(male), 58, 68, 70, the male and female connections serving as coded indicators, color coding that is used to identify straps when donning the harness, D-ring connecting members 29, 46, 74, 76, which are connectable with a lanyard (commonly known to be used with hooks or carabineers as recited in column 3, line 18)/connecting device as recited in column 4, lines 11-13, and a method of using the harness as recited in column 6, lines 64-68 and column 7, lines 1-16. Fisk et al fail to disclose multiple coded indicators for connection of buckles, straps, D-rings, and lanyards, to other harness elements.

Chong et al, as recited in column 3, lines 14-20, teach the utility of a harness assembly having straps 1, 2, 4, 5, and connecting members/D-rings 3, 10, the mating connection of which can be facilitated by the use of color coding on the buckles or straps or any variation thereof.

Therefore, to one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious, as a matter for design choice, to provide the harness assembly of Fisk et al with color-coding attachment indicators, as

Application/Control Number: 10/786,487

Art Unit: 3634

taught by Chong et al, so as to facilitate mating connection between straps, connecting devices, and D-rings, or any variation thereof, while producing no new and unexpected results.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in the Amendment of 6-27-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. At the outset, the Examiner appreciates the applicant's position. However, it seems that the applicant relies upon arguments relative to the intended use of the harness assembly, and not the structure of the harness assembly. There does not appear to be any arguments drawn to components of the prior art, but rather to the motivation of using colorcoded straps, rings, or buckles. Chong et al is used merely to teach that color coding has been used in the prior art and that such color coding can be used to match buckles and straps to corresponding buckles or straps, not unlike the instant invention. Further, that Chong's harness be used for medical conditions, does not teach away from the use of color coded mating of straps and buckles for proper use. The applicant does point out that Fisk et al's use of color-coding is for identification purposes. Based upon applicant's own acknowledgement and no "argued" structural differences between the prior art and the instant invention, it appears that there is sufficient motivation to one of ordinary skill for the use of color coded straps and buckles for identification purposes and matching of corresponding elements. If there is some unexpected resulting structure that the Examiner has overlooked, Applicant is encouraged to better define that structure, but absent such a recitation, the rejection as advanced above is deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,487

Art Unit: 3634

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

they to Thompsoul

September 6, 2005